



Hazardous Substances Certification

Board of Directors

David Schooler
(President)

Mary McCrea
(Vice-President)

Peter Bauer
(Treasurer)

Peggy Porter
(Secretary)

Phil Davis

Benj Drummond

Jane Gilbertsen

Sam Nancy

Dani Reynaud

Gordy Reynaud

Joanne Uehara

Kevin van Bueren

The Methow Conservancy, as the recipient of funding assistance specified in RCO Project Agreement #16-1699A titled Lehman Uplands Conservation Easement does hereby give assurance that it does not know and has no reason to know that any hazardous substance, the release or threatened release of which has resulted in or contributed to the need for remedial action, was released or disposed of, in or at the Lehman Uplands property or properties that are included in the above-referenced Project Agreement. The Methow Conservancy further warrants that it has obtained representations and warranties concerning the environmental condition of the property from the seller and has inspected the property to the scope and extent described in the attached RCO Property Assessment Checklist.

Staff

Executive Director
Jason Paulsen

Associate Director
Sarah Brooks

Land Program Manager
Jeanne White

Land Project Manager
John Sunderland

Stewardship Director
Heide Andersen

Conservation Biologist
Julie Grialou

Agricultural Coordinator
Alyssa Jurnars

Membership Assistant
Mary Morgan

Bookkeeper
Joy Schwab

Community Conservation
Coordinator
Daniel Senner


Stewardship Associate
Johnnie Duguay



Signature of Project Sponsor



Title



Date

Appendix M:

Property Assessment Checklist

Property Assessment Checklist for Hazardous Substances Certification						
Date: <u>5/12/2020</u>			Property Name:			
Legal Description:			Co. Parcel #			
Street Address <u>Balky Hill Rd</u>						
I. Land Use - Subject Property			II. Land Use - Adjacent Properties		III. Public Records	
Use	Yes	No Unk	Use	Yes No Unk	List/Agency Action	Yes No Unk
Commercial/industrial	()	() ()	Commercial/industrial	() () ()	Federal superfund	() (X) ()
Dryland agriculture	()	() ()	Dryland agriculture	() () ()	Ecology haz sites list	() (X) ()
Forest	()	() ()	Forest	() () ()	Ecology leaking UST list	() (X) ()
Grazing	(X)	() ()	Grazing	(X) () ()	Ecology UST list	() (X) ()
Irrigated agriculture	()	() ()	Irrigated agriculture	() () ()	Ecology enforcement	() (X) ()
Residential	()	() ()	Residential	() () ()	County dumps/landfills	() (X) ()
Vacant undeveloped	()	() ()	Vacant undeveloped	() () ()	County enforcement	() (X) ()
Other	()	() ()	Other	() () ()	Fire district response	() () (X)
Comment:			Comment:		Comment:	
IV. Field Inspection						
Risk Indicators	Yes No Unk	Risk Indicators	Yes No Unk	Risk Indicators	Yes No Unk	
Abandoned equipment	() (X) ()	Chemical spills	() (X) ()	Off site water discharge	() (X) ()	
Above ground tanks	() (X) ()	Chemigation/fertigation	() (X) ()	Oil used for dust control	() (X) ()	
Attractive nuisances/hazards	() (X) ()	Discolored soils	() (X) ()	Piles of "unknowns"	() (X) ()	
Buildings	() (X) ()	Distressed vegetation	() (X) ()	Pilings, docks, wharfs	() (X) ()	
* Asbestos	() (X) ()	Electrical equipment	() (X) ()	Pits, ponds, lagoons	() (X) ()	
* Fiberglass	() (X) ()	Excavations/fills	() (X) ()	Railroads	() (X) ()	
* Hazardous condition	() (X) ()	Flood hazard	() (X) ()	Under ground tanks	() (X) ()	
* Lead	() (X) ()	Foundations	() (X) ()	Unstable soils/slopes	() (X) ()	
* Urea formaldehyde	() (X) ()	Garbage dumps	() (X) ()	Water sheens	() (X) ()	
Burn piles	() (X) ()	Hazmat use, storage, dumping	() (X) ()	Wells	() (X) ()	
Chemical filling areas	() (X) ()	Manholes, fill pipes, drains	() (X) ()	Other	() (X) ()	
Comment (Note: attach additional comments, map and photographs): <u>Only ag since homestead opening</u>						
V. Conclusion and Recommendation						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Apparent Risk There is no apparent liability to the department, or it presents an acceptable level of risk, as explained below. <input type="checkbox"/> Low Level of Risk that can be Mitigated There is a risk level that can be avoided if certain actions are taken or conditions met, as explained below. <input type="checkbox"/> Risk needs Further Investigation There is a potential or known risk that needs a Phase 1 environmental assessment completed, as explained below. <input type="checkbox"/> High Risk There is a potential or known risk that outweighs benefit to the department, as explained below.						
Rationale: I reviewed the following documents/undertook the following actions in arriving at the above conclusion: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aerial photographs; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Title search; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Records; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal interview (who?) <u>Landowner</u> ; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site inspection; <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Comment:						
Signed <u>John Soderland</u>			Title <u>Land Project Manager</u>		Date <u>5/12/2020</u>	